On the energy spectrum of rapidly rotating forced turbulence
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In this paper, we investigate the statistical features of a fully developed, forced, rapidly rotating, turbulent system using numerical simulations and model the energy spectrum that fits well with the numerical data. Among the wavenumbers $k$ larger than the Kolmogorov dissipation wavenumber, the energy is distributed such that the suitably non-dimensionalized energy spectrum is $\overline{E}(k) = \exp(-0.05k)\epsilon$, where the overbar denotes appropriate non-dimensionalization. For the wavenumbers smaller than that of forcing, the energy in a horizontal plane is much more than that along the vertical rotation-axis. For such wavenumbers, we find that the anisotropic energy spectrum, $\overline{E}(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$, follows the power law scaling, $k_{\perp}^{-5/3}k_{\parallel}^{1/2}$, where “⊥” and “∥,” respectively, refer to the directions perpendicular and parallel to the rotation axis; this result is in line with the Kuznetsov–Zakharov–Kolmogorov spectrum predicted by the weak inertial-wave turbulence theory for the rotating fluids. Published by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5051444

I. INTRODUCTION

Rotating turbulence—turbulence in rotating fluids—is a commonly occurring phenomenon in geophysical and astrophysical flows. It also occurs in engineering flows like the ones in turbo-machinery and reciprocating engines with swirl and tumble. The large-scale structures of the turbulent system are affected by rotation due to the Coriolis force that acts in the plane perpendicular to the direction of angular velocity. Intriguingly, the scaling law of the energy spectrum in the inertial range changes with the rotation rate in a way so as to two-dimensionalize the three-dimensional (3D) fluid turbulence. It is well known that Kolmogorov proposed an inertial range energy spectrum for homogeneous and isotropic 3D hydrodynamics turbulence, $E(k) \sim \epsilon^{2/3}k^{-5/3}$, where $\epsilon$ is the constant energy dissipation rate. The Kolmogorov spectrum is quite universal and observed in a plethora of other realistic settings, e.g., shear flows, viscoelastic fluids, buoyancy-driven systems, and jet flows. On the other hand, the energy cascade for the two-dimensional (2D) turbulence system shows dual behavior: an inverse cascade at large scales with $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$ and a forward cascade of enstrophy at relatively small scales with $E(k) \sim k^{-3}$.

The energy spectrum becomes more complex in the presence of rotation in the turbulent system, and there is no unanimity about the form of the inertial range energy spectrum among the researchers. The largest wavenumber up to which the Coriolis force dominates over the nonlinearity is specified by the Zeman wavenumber, $k_{\Omega} \equiv (\Omega^2/\epsilon)^{1/2}$; larger scales corresponding to $k \leq k_{\Omega}$ are affected the most by the rotational effects. Here, $\Omega$ is the magnitude of the angular velocity. Be it analytical, numerical, or experimental investigations of either the forced or the decaying rotating turbulent fluid, it is generally found that the energy spectrum for the rotation dominated wavenumbers scales as $k^{-m}$, where $m \in [2, 3]$. Apart from these power-law scalings for relatively larger scales, the energy spectrum for the smaller scales in rotating turbulence is equally enigmatic. In addition to the characteristic energy spectrum, the inverse cascade of energy is a prominent feature of rotating turbulence which has been studied experimentally as well as numerically. A forward cascade of energy in the vertical planes (the planes containing the direction of rotation), as far as the horizontal planes are concerned, there is usually a forward cascade of energy at the small horizontal scales and an inverse energy cascade at the large horizontal scales. The inverse cascade yields coherent columnar structures in the flow. There is also evidence of non-local energy transfers in rotating turbulence.

In nonrotating 3D turbulent fluids, subsequent to a model proposed by Kraichnan for the kinetic energy spectrum in the far dissipation range, Pao and Pope separately proposed models which are applicable in both the inertial and the dissipation ranges. These two model spectra, respectively, are $E(k) = K_0\epsilon^{2/3}k^{-5/3}\exp(-\frac{3}{2}a\nu\epsilon^{-1/3}k^{1/3})$, where $K_0$ is the Kolmogorov constant and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, and $E(k) = C\epsilon^{2/3}k^{-5/3}f_L(kL)f_\eta(k\eta)$, where $C$ is a real constant, $L$ is the integral length scale, $\eta$ is the Kolmogorov length scale, and $f_L$ and $f_\eta$ are the two non-dimensional functions. Another widely used typical phenomenological form of the energy spectrum in the far dissipation range of 3D isotropic homogeneous turbulence is given by $E(k) \sim (k/k_\eta)^\gamma \exp[-\beta(k/k_\eta)^n]$, where $\gamma$, $\beta$, and $n$ are the real constants and $k_\eta$ is the Kolmogorov dissipation scale. The values of $\gamma$, $\beta$, and $n$ are often debated in the turbulence community. While $n = 1$ (cf. Ref. 49) supporting $n = 2$ is agreed upon by many researchers, there is relatively more disagreement about the value of $\gamma$ from $3, -1.6, -2, 3.3$ and the value of $\beta = 4.9, 7.1, 5.2$. Needless to say, as far as the more complex problem of the forced rotating turbulence is concerned, the issue of such an
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energy spectrum is even wider open. Given the uncertainty in the two exponents, the usage of this energy spectrum is debatable. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, no one has reported such an energy spectrum for smaller scales that extend far into the dissipation range of the forced rotating fluid turbulence.

Recently, Sharma et al.\textsuperscript{39} investigated the statistical behavior of the rapidly rotating decaying turbulence. They reported that a major fraction of the total energy is confined in the Fourier modes \((±1, 0, 0)\) and \((0, ±1, 0)\), which correspond to the largest coherent columnar structure in the flow. These modes contain approximately 85 percent of the total energy of the system. Furthermore, they also proposed a model for the energy spectrum, \(E(k) \sim C \varepsilon_ω^2/k^3 \exp[-C(k/k_0)^2]\) in the region \(k ≥ 2k_η\). Here \(k_η \equiv \varepsilon^{1/6}/\sqrt{\nu} \), \(\varepsilon_ω\) is the enstrophy dissipation rate, \(C\) is a constant, and \(k_η\) is the Kolmogorov dissipation wavenumber. There is no reason to believe a priori that these results should hold good for the forced rotating turbulence system. In fact, the introduction of forcing at an intermediate scale—corresponding wavenumber being \(k_f\)—breaks the kinetic energy spectrum into two disjoint regions: larger scales \(k < k_f\) and smaller scales \(k > k_f\). Contrary to what has been observed in the decaying case, the energy spectrum in the forced case shows power law scaling close to \(E(k_⊥, k_∥) \sim k_⊥^{-5/3}/k_∥^{-1/2}\) in highly anisotropic large scales. (The subscripts \(“⊥“\) and \(“∥“\), respectively, refer to the directions perpendicular and parallel to the rotation axis.) In the rather isotropic far dissipation range, the energy spectrum becomes an exponential function of \(“-k^3“\). Additionally, although we have observed that \((±1, 0, 0)\) and \((0, ±1, 0)\) still contain a major fraction of the total energy, the energy content in the intermediate and the smaller scales is much more than that for the decaying rotating turbulent; as a result, one observes rather intermediate and the smaller scales is much more than that in the decaying case, the energy spectrum is even wider open. Given the uncertainty in the two exponents, the usage of this energy spectrum is debatable. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, no one has reported such an energy spectrum for smaller scales that extend far into the dissipation range of the forced rotating fluid turbulence.

However, before we start presenting the results in a systematic manner, let us clearly describe our model system.

**II. THE MODEL SYSTEM**

The governing equation of a forced incompressible fluid flow in the rotating reference frame is

\[
\frac{∂u}{∂t} + (u \cdot ∇)u = -∇p - 2Ω × u + ν∇^2 u + f.
\]

\[
∇ \cdot u = 0,
\]

where \(u\) is the velocity field, \(Ω = Ωz\) is the angular velocity of the rotating frame, \(p\) is the pressure field which includes contributions from centrifugal acceleration, \(ν\) is the kinematic viscosity, \(-2Ω \times u\) is the Coriolis acceleration, and \(f\) is the force field.

We have simulated these equations in a cube of size \((2π)^3\) with the periodic boundary condition on all the sides using the pseudo-spectral code, Tarang.\textsuperscript{80,81} We have used the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method for time stepping and the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) condition to optimize the time stepping \((Δt)\) and 2/3 rule for dealiasing. Our simulations are with grid-resolutions of \(512^3\) and \(1024^3\), and a constant rotation rate \(Ω = 32\) which corresponds to the Rossby number \((Ro)\) of the order of \(10^{-3}\). One may recall that \(Ro \equiv U/ΩL\), with \(U\) and \(L\), respectively, being the large scale velocity and the corresponding length scale, is the ratio of the magnitudes of \((u \cdot ∇)u\) and the Coriolis acceleration. We have used our forcing scheme in such a way that it supplies constant energy and no kinetic helicity in the flow. In the Fourier space, the forcing function \((\hat{f}(k))\) is taken as

\[
\hat{f}(k) = \frac{ε_n k^2}{n_f \hat{u}(k) \hat{u}^*(k)},
\]

where \(n_f\) is the total number of modes at which the forcing is active and \(ε_n\) is the energy input rate. The phase of \(\hat{f}(k)\) is chosen randomly at every time step. Other relevant parameters of our simulations are tabulated in Table I.

![Table I. Parameters of the simulation: the grid-resolution \(N\), the forcing wavenumber band \(k_f\), the rotation rate \(Ω\), the kinematic viscosity \(ν\), the final eddy turn-over time \(t_f\), the energy supply rate \(ε\), the Rossby number \(Ro\), the Reynolds number \(Re\), the Zeman wavenumber \(k_Ω\), \(k_{max} η\), and the Kolmogorov dissipation wavenumber \(k_η\).](image)

We have used the data corresponding to the time frame \(t = 45\) of \(512^3\) grid resolution as an initial condition for \(1024^3\) grid resolution. The system evolve up to \(t = 3\) eddy turn-over time for \(1024^3\) grid resolution. We find that \(k_{max} η > 1.5\) for the simulation, where \(η\) is Kolmogorov’s length scale, which shows that our simulation is well resolved. We have also ensured that the data are collected from the steady state. Additionally, by working with the two grid-resolutions, the results presented in this paper have been shown to be grid independent.

**III. QUASI-TWO-DIMENSIONALIZATION**

The Coriolis force affects the perpendicular components of velocity field \(u_⊥ = u_x, y + u_y, z\), and this force is the primary reason for the quasi-two-dimensionalization (2D) of three-dimensional (3D) turbulent flow. Below we present evidence for the quasi-two-dimensional nature of the flow.

**A. Strong anisotropy**

We know that, by definition, the Coriolis force is dominant at wavenumbers smaller than the Zeman wavenumber. In Fig. 1, we plot the anisotropy parameter \(A \equiv E_⊥/2E_∥\) as a function of wavenumber. Here, \(E_⊥ \equiv E_x + E_y\) and \(E_∥ \equiv E_z\) [with \(E_x \equiv ∫(u_x^2/2)d\mathbf{r}\), \(E_y \equiv ∫(u_y^2/2)d\mathbf{r}\), and \(E_z \equiv ∫(u_z^2/2)d\mathbf{r}\)]. It is obvious from the figure that there is strong anisotropy \((A \gg 1)\), and hence quasi-two-dimensionalization, at larger
scales; the energy ($E_\parallel$) in the parallel (to $\Omega$) component of velocity is much less than the average energy ($E_\perp$) in one of the perpendicular components. It is of importance to note that at smaller scales, the system is effectively isotropic since $A \sim 1$, and consequently the flow can be seen as a 3D turbulent flow where the effect of rotation is negligible.

B. Inverse energy cascade

The energy evolution equation may be written as

$$\frac{\partial E(k,t)}{\partial t} = T(k,t) - 2\nu k^2 E(k,t) + F(k,t), \quad (4)$$

where $T(k,t)$ is the energy transfer to the wavenumber shell $k$ due to nonlinearity, $-2\nu k^2 E(k,t)$ is the energy dissipation spectrum, and $F(k,t)$ is the energy injected in the system by forcing. Away from the forcing scales, in steady state where $\frac{\partial E(k,t)}{\partial t} \approx 0$, Eq. (4) becomes

$$\frac{d\Pi(k)}{dk} = -T(k) = -2\nu k^2 E(k). \quad (5)$$

$\Pi(k)$ is the kinetic energy flux, defined as the net transfer of energy out of the sphere of radius $k$ by the modes inside the sphere. We can compute the energy flux of the system using the following formula:

$$\Pi(k_*) = \sum_{k > k_*, p \leq k_*} S(k|p|q), \quad (6)$$

where

$$S(k|p|q) \equiv \text{Im}[(k \cdot u(q))(u(p) \cdot u^*(k))] \quad (7)$$

is the mode-to-mode energy transfer rate from mode $p$ to mode $k$ with mode $q$ as a mediator in the triad $(k, p, q; k = p + q)$. The resulting figure, Fig. 2, explicitly depicts that at the scales larger than the scale at which forcing is active, there is an inverse cascade of energy that is reminiscent of a similar inverse cascade in the two-dimensional turbulence. As a result of this inverse cascade of the kinetic energy, there would be condensation of kinetic energy at lower wavenumbers. This is also seen in the decaying rotating turbulent system.\(^{59}\) This condensation is naturally expected to give rise to the large scale coherent structures.

C. Large scale coherent structures

There is a large body of studies\(^{23,30,59,64-69}\) on the formation of the large scale structures, which is known to depend on the strength of the rotation rate: at a very high rotation rate, the flow profile becomes quasi-two-dimensionalized with sharp coherent vortical columns, while at a low rotation...
Figure 3(a) exhibits isosurfaces of constant \( \omega \) at \( t = t_f \), where \( \omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \) is the vorticity field. In Fig. 3(b), we plot the 2D velocity \( \mathbf{u} = u_x \hat{x} + u_y \hat{y} \) field for the horizontal cross section taken at \( z = \pi \) of the flow profile. The figure shows vortical columns. We observe that the columnar vortices are disorganized and not very sharp in appearance. Compared to the decaying case, in 3D forced rotating turbulence flow, the velocity in the direction of rotation is no longer constant, and the stretching and tilting of vortices occur. Also, in the plane perpendicular to the direction of rotation, the incompressible condition \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \) is not satisfied and the two-dimensionalization is relatively hindered than what happens in the decaying case. Additionally, the forcing randomizes the flow, and it starts affecting the velocity field and obstructs the alignment of the vorticity field along the direction of rotation. This obstruction in alignment of velocity fields affects the structure formation in the forced rotating turbulent system.

In order to quantitatively understand the disorganization of the columnar structures in forced rotating turbulence, we study the energy content of the Fourier modes. Table II tabulates the energy contents of the most energetic Fourier modes for the forced rotating case at time frame \( t = 56 \) for the grid resolution of 512\(^3\) and at \( t = 3 \) for 1024\(^3\) grid resolution. We do not list the energy of \(-\mathbf{k}\) modes because \( \mathbf{u}(-\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{k}) \). The fraction of the total energy contained in \( 18 \times 2 = 36 \) Fourier modes is a significant amount of the total energy spread over 512\(^3\) and 1024\(^3\) modes. The Fourier modes \((k_x, k_y, k_z) = (1, 0, 0)\) and \((0, 1, 0)\) are the most dominant modes of the system. These modes contain 25–30 percent of the total energy of the system. The situation is different in the case of decaying rotating turbulence, where modes \((\pm 1, 0, 0)\) and \((0, \pm 1, 0)\) contain around 90 percent of the total energy. It may be remarked that in the case of decaying turbulence these modes are not strong initially but later in time they become dominant. In comparison, however, there is no significant temporal variation in the kinetic energy distribution for the forced rotating turbulence, as can be gathered from Table II. The important thing to note is that, in the case of the forced rotating turbulent system, more energy is uniformly distributed in the other modes as should be expected for the relatively less coherent columns.

**IV. THE ENERGY SPECTRUM**

The energy spectrum across the full range of wavenumbers is shown in Figs. 4(a) and 5. We note that about the forced scales (corresponding to the peaks in the plots), the spectrum is too disrupted to show any prominent scaling. We, thus, focus our attention on two different non-overlapping ranges of scales: the scales smaller than the forcing scales and the scales larger than the forcing scales.

It is obvious that the larger scales, being more affected by the rotation, should behave as if they are quasi-two-dimensionalized. By contrast, the smaller scales should have energy spectrum with an exponential term reminding one of the far dissipation ranges of the 3D isotropic homogeneous turbulence and the exponential fit found for the rapidly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode ((k_x, k_y, k_z))</th>
<th>(E_{mode}/E(%)) at (t = 56)</th>
<th>(E_{mode}/E(%)) at (t = 3.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((1, 0, 0))</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>15.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((0, 1, 0))</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1, 1, 0))</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, 1, 0))</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1, 2, 0))</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-2, 1, 0))</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-2, 2, 0))</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1, -2, 0))</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-3, 1, 0))</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2, -2, 0))</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((3, 1, 0))</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((3, -2, 0))</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2, 1, 0))</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, -3, 0))</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-3, -2, 0))</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-2, -3, 0))</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-3, 0, 0))</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total %</td>
<td>32.19</td>
<td>36.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 4.** Plots of (a) the non-dimensionalized energy spectra, \(\tilde{E}(k)\), and (b) the non-dimensionalized energy flux, \(\tilde{F}(k)\), at \(t = t_f\), as generated by the simulations performed with grid resolutions of 512\(^3\) (magenta) and 1024\(^3\) (blue) for the forced rotating turbulent fluid. The dotted lines are the fits generated in accordance with the energy spectra and the energy flux given in Eqs. (11) and (12).
rotating decaying turbulence. However, the energy spectra that we report in this paper for both the ranges \(k < k_f\) and \(k > k_f\) are—to the best of our knowledge—not reported in any direct numerical simulations performed so far. In particular, we find that while the exponential fit in the decaying case goes as \(\exp(-\text{constant} \times k^2)\), in the forced case, it goes as \(\exp(-\text{constant} \times k^3)\) which is less steep in the dissipation range \(k > k_f\). Furthermore, in the anisotropic regime, i.e., in the larger scales \(k < k_f\), the Kuznetsov–Zakharov–Kolmogorov (KZK) spectrum \(^{25}\) emerges. In what follows, we model and discuss these spectra in detail as discovered in our numerical simulations.

\section*{A. Model spectrum for smaller scales}
Most models of the forced rotating turbulence predict Kolmogorov’s \(k^{-5/3}\) spectrum in the wavenumber range \(k_\Omega < k < k_\Omega \) where \(k_\Omega \) is the transition wavenumber (usually much smaller than \(k_f\)) between the inertial range and the dissipation range. Thus, in order to see this scaling, at the lower end, we need to pick the larger one between \(k_\Omega \) and \(k_f\). Unfortunately, in all our simulations, \(k_\Omega > k_f\) and \(k_f\) is very close to \(k_f\), which is approximately just two to three times more than \(k_f\). Because of this, there is no significant range of wavenumbers that could exhibit the \(k^{-5/3}\) spectrum discernible in a log-log plot.

Recently, Verma \(^{70}\) and Verma \(^{7}\) had shown that for laminar hydrodynamic flows, the steady state energy spectrum and the flux are proportional to \(\exp(-k/k_\eta)/k\) and \(\exp(-k/k_\eta)\), respectively. These functions satisfy Eq. (5) and also match with numerical simulations of the laminar flows. For our rapidly rotating flow, the energy content in the scales smaller than the forcing scale, \(\sum_{k > k_f} E(k)\), is quite small; hence, it can be treated as approximately laminar. This is due to the strong inverse cascade of energy to the larger scales that retain most of the energy. Motivated by this observation, we propose the following form for \(E(k)\) in the smaller scales extending into the far dissipation range:

\[
E(k) = \sum_{k - \alpha k < k} \frac{1}{2} |\bar{u}(k')|² = u_{rms}² f_r(k) \frac{1}{k} \exp(-\alpha k/k_d). \tag{8}
\]

From the form of this \(E(k)\), it may be noted that Eq. (5) contains the effects of weak nonlinearity of the flow. The weak energy flux \(\Pi(k)\) is the result of this nonlinearity.

The above \(E(k)\) has only one exponent, viz., \(\alpha\), which needs to be determined. Here \(u_{rms}\) is the rms velocity of the high-pass filtered flow with all the component wave vectors greater than \(k_f\). Note that we have introduced a new wavenumber \(k_d\) which is taken to be \(\sqrt{Re/L}\), and \(Re\) is calculated with \(U\) replaced by \(u_{rms}\). In this context, recall that \(k_\eta \equiv (\epsilon/\nu^3)^{1/4} \sim \sqrt{Re/L}\), where

\[
e = \int_0^\infty 2\nu k² E(k) dk = 2\nu u_{rms}² k_d² I, \tag{9}
\]

with \(I \equiv \int_0^\infty k f_r(k) \exp(-\alpha k) dk \equiv k / k_d\). Thus, \(k_d\) based on the high-pass filtered flow is analogous to \(k_\eta\) which is based on the unfiltered flow field.

Using \(\bar{k}, \tilde{E}(\bar{k}) \equiv E(\bar{k})/u_{rms}²\), and \(\tilde{\Pi}(\bar{k}) \equiv \Pi(k)/\epsilon\) as non-dimensionalized quantities, the relationship [Eq. (5)] between the kinetic energy and the energy flux in the non-dimensionalized form becomes

\[
\frac{d\tilde{\Pi}(\bar{k})}{d\bar{k}} = -\bar{k} \tilde{E}(\bar{k}). \tag{10}
\]

In the dissipation range \(k \gg k_f\), where \(k_f\) is the forcing wavenumber and \(f_r(k)\) is unity, the kinetic energy and the energy flux are, respectively, as follows:

\[
\tilde{E}(\bar{k}) = \exp(-\alpha \bar{k}), \tag{11}
\]

\[
\tilde{\Pi}(\bar{k}) = \frac{1}{\bar{k} \alpha} \exp(-\alpha \bar{k}). \tag{12}
\]

It may be easily checked that the above two expressions satisfy the non-dimensionalized equation, Eq. (10).
Thus, we define such a spectrum as corresponding to the direction parallel to the rotation axis). We observe that the predicted self-consistent model \([Eqs. (11) and (12)]\) of the energy spectrum and the energy flux stands validated by our numerical data in the wavenumber range \(k \in [141, 256]\) for \(512^3\) grid resolution and \(k \in [168, 512]\) for \(1024^3\) grid resolution. The values of \(\alpha\) are 0.052 \(\pm\) 0.001 for \(512^3\) grid resolution and 0.055 \(\pm\) 0.001 for \(1024^3\) grid resolution. We are getting approximately the same value of \(\alpha\) for both the grid resolutions, thus, signifying that the parameter is robust and resolution-independent. The values of Re based on the aforementioned wavenumber ranges are 62 for \(512^3\) and 115 for \(1024^3\) resolutions, which are one order less than the global Re (1736 and 1786, respectively, for the grid resolutions \(512^3\) and \(1024^3\)). Thus, there is a reduction in the strength of turbulence in the smaller scales, which is the reason why any power law scaling is hard to observe here.

**B. Model spectrum near larger scales**

Although one can extract scaling exponents from the \(E(k)\) vs. \(k\) plot to characterise the system; strictly speaking, in the anisotropic regime, the energy spectrum should not be modelled as a function of \(k\). It is more sensible that the energy spectrum be explicitly dependent on both \(k_\perp\) (two dimensional vector perpendicular to the rotation axis) and \(k_\parallel\) (wavenumber corresponding to the direction parallel to the rotation axis). Thus, we define such a spectrum as

\[
E(k_\perp, k_\parallel) = \sum_{k_\perp - 1 < k'_\perp \leq k_\perp, k_\parallel - 1 < k'_\parallel \leq k_\parallel} \frac{1}{2} |\vec{u}(k'_\perp, k'_\parallel)|^2.
\]

(13)

Note that, under the assumption of axisymmetry, we have used the magnitude of \(k_\perp\) in the argument of the energy spectrum.

The energy spectrum of the system is calculated by using Eq. (13) and plotted in Fig. 5. In Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), it is remarkable to note that the energy spectrum observed from our numerical simulation is quite close to the Kuznetsov–Zakharov–Kolmogorov (KZK) spectrum—\(E(k) \sim k_\perp^{-5/2}k_\parallel^{-1/2}\)—within error bars. The strong rotation supplies a small parameter, \(\text{Ro}\), in the framework of weak turbulence. Using this, it has shown\textsuperscript{25} that, in the anisotropic limit \(k_\perp \gg k_\parallel\), structures elongated along the rotation axis are brought forth through dominating local interactions. Furthermore, the KZK spectrum comes out as an exact solution. Although the aforementioned weak turbulence analysis has been performed for decaying rotating turbulent fluid, we emphasize that this spectrum appears in our numerical simulations exactly where the system is strongly anisotropic and shows elongated structures, thereby somewhat satisfying the requirements for the appearance of the spectrum. It may be noted, in this context, that the aforementioned plots are for the case \(k_\perp \gg k_\parallel\), just what the weak turbulence analysis requires. We also note that the shift of the peaks away from \(k_f\) in Fig. 5(b) is because the abscissa is \(k_\parallel\) and not \(k\), and thus, the identity \(k^2 = k_\perp^2 + k_\parallel^2\) with \(k = k_f\) and \(k_\perp = 35\) locates the peaks at \(k_\parallel \approx 21\) and \(k_\parallel \approx 74\), respectively, for \(512^3\) and \(1024^3\) grid resolutions.

Additionally, in Fig. 5(c), we note that the scaling exponent of \(k_\perp\) is unchanged even when the spectrum is summed over all \(k_\parallel\). Furthermore, Fig. 5(d) showcases the fact that this scaling exponent (~\(5/2\)) is very robust; in the range \(A \gg 1\), even the isotropic energy spectrum, \(E(k)\), scales as \(k^{-5/2}\) and so does \(E(k)\) simply because the share of energy contained in the plane perpendicular to the rotation axis is large. We remark that, however, the scaling exponent of \(k_\parallel\) is not very robust and it varies with the choice of \(k_\perp\) range (see Appendix B). Nevertheless, we believe that ours is the first numerical demonstration of KZK spectra in forced rotating turbulence.

**V. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION**

We have performed direct numerical simulations of a 3D turbulent fluid forced at intermediate scales. The fluid is rotating with a high rotation rate corresponding to \(\text{Ro} \sim 10^{-3}\). Such a system is known to mimic some of the features of the 2D turbulence. Recall that in the fully developed 2D fluid turbulence—extensively investigated\textsuperscript{10,11,71–79} numerically as well as experimentally—strong vortical structures appear in the system due to the inverse cascade of kinetic energy from the forcing scale to the scale corresponding to the box-size. Furthermore, it has been shown that the kinetic energy spectrum for \(k < k_f\) is given by \(E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}\), whereas\textsuperscript{80–83} for \(k > k_f\), \(E(k) \sim k^{-3}\).

In the forced rotating flow, we observed that the system becomes highly anisotropic at larger scales; coherent columnar structures are formed, but they are relatively more diffused in appearance compared to what is seen in the case of decaying rotating turbulence. We have also found dual cascade regions—forward and reverse cascades—for both the energy and the enstrophy (calculated on a 2D horizontal plane; see Appendix A). While these features are very much reminiscent of the 2D turbulence, the forms of the energy spectrum on either side of \(k_f\) are completely different from what is observed in the 2D turbulence. Thus, the quasi-two-dimensionalization in the rotating 3D turbulent fluid does not actually mean that the spectral properties of the fluid become akin to that possessed by the 2D turbulent fluid.

For the anisotropic regime, we have also investigated the behavior of the kinetic energy spectrum as a function of \(k_\perp\) and \(k_\parallel\), which is convenient to showcase the manifestations of the anisotropic behavior of the system. We observed that the kinetic energy spectrum varies as \(k_\perp^{-2.35 \pm 0.30}\) in the wavenumber range \(k_\perp \in [1, 6]\) for a grid resolution of \(512^3\) and scales as \(k_\perp^{-2.66 \pm 0.30}\) in the wavenumber range \(k_\perp \in [1, 11]\) for a grid resolution of \(1024^3\). The kinetic energy spectrum as a function of \(k_\parallel\) shows power scalings for fixed \(k_\perp\), specifically, \(E(35, k_\parallel) \sim k_\parallel^{-0.52 \pm 0.12}\) in the wavenumber range \(k_\parallel \in [1, 6]\) for a grid resolution of \(512^3\) and \(E(35, k_\parallel) \sim k_\parallel^{-0.62 \pm 0.11}\) in the wavenumber range \(k_\parallel \in [1, 11]\) for \(1024^3\) grid resolution. Within the error bars, these scalings are in conformity with the KZK-spectrum. As far as the isotropic small scales are concerned, we have proposed a model [Eq. (8)] that faithfully captures the behavior of the kinetic energy spectrum in the far dissipation range. Our proposed model is in very good agreement with the numerical results over the wavenumber range \(k \in [k_\eta, k_{\max}]\).
Our investigation highlights that the statistical features of the decaying rotating turbulence and the forced rotating turbulence are quite different. This fact suggests that a similar scientific comparison of the decaying versus the forced turbulences under the simultaneous effects of the rotation and the non-zero kinetic helicity is worth pursuing in the future. This is important because, in any realistic experiment of the forced rotating turbulence, the experimental setups are such that some finite amount of the kinetic helicity would invariably be imparted to the fluid. Also, the kinetic helicity is significant inside the Earth’s outer core and is similarly important in the other planets and the stars.
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APPENDIX A: INVERSE ENSTROPHY CASCADE

We studied the enstrophy flux of the plane perpendicular to the axis of rotation. We compute the enstrophy flux of the 2D velocity field \( \mathbf{u}_z \) at the plane using the formula

\[
\Pi_{\omega}^{(2D)}(k_z) = \sum_{k > k_z} \sum_{p \leq k_z} S^\omega(k \cdot p | q).
\]

(A1)

Here,

\[
S^\omega(k \cdot p | q) = \text{Im} \{ (k \cdot \mathbf{u}_z(q))(\omega_z(p)\omega_z(k)) \},
\]

(A2)

with

\[
\omega_z(k) = [(k \times \mathbf{u}(k))_z
\]

(A3)

represents the enstrophy transfer from mode \( \omega_z(p) \) to mode \( \omega_z(k) \) with mode \( \mathbf{u}(q) \) acting as a mediator. Figure 6 illustrates the enstrophy flux of the horizontal cross section taken at \( z = \pi \) at time frame \( t = 56 \) (magenta) for a grid resolution of \( 512^3 \) and at \( t = 3 \) (blue) for \( 1024^3 \) grid resolution. Arguably, when the vortex merger is strong in 2D turbulent flow, the mean enstrophy flux is negative \(^{84,85}\) for the scales larger than the injection scales. Therefore, the negative enstrophy flux in the scales larger than the injection scale (as exhibited in Fig. 6) signifies that our rotating 3D flow is appreciably two-dimensionalized.

APPENDIX B: ANISOTROPIC ENERGY SPECTRUM’S SCALING WITH \( k || \)

The KZK spectrum exists in the anisotropic limit, viz., \( k || \gg k \perp \), in rapidly rotating turbulence as was shown by Galtier\(^ {25}\) (and we have observed so in Fig. 5); however, that analysis did not include forcing. Since our investigation is for the forced rotating turbulent fluid, we must keep this added complication in mind while extracting the exponent of \( k || \) in the anisotropic energy spectrum. The scaling of the energy spectrum as a function of \( k || \) depends on the choice of \( k \perp \) in the anisotropic limit, which is shown in Fig. 7.

In Fig. 7, we plot the energy spectrum as a function of \( k || \) for different \( k \perp \) for both the \( 512^3 \) grid resolution and the \( 1024^3 \) grid resolution. In order to extract the exponent, we have to decide not only what range of \( k \perp \) to use but also what value of \( k \perp \) to choose. Although one would like to choose a large enough range while maintaining \( k || \gg k \perp \), we are restricted in our choice because the peak corresponding to the forcing scale restricts us: the upper bound of the fitting range should

\[
E(k, k ||) \sim k^{-m}. \]

(a) \( 512^3 \)

(b) \( 1024^3 \)

FIG. 6. Plot of the 2D enstrophy flux, \( \Pi_{\omega}^{(2D)}(k) \), for the 2D velocity field on the horizontal cross section, \( z = \pi \), at \( t = t_f \) for the grid-resolutions \( 512^3 \) (magenta) and \( 1024^3 \) (blue). The inset highlights the inverse cascade of the flux. The peaks in the plots indicate the corresponding forced wavenumbers \( k_f \).

FIG. 7. Plots of the (anisotropic) energy spectrum, \( E(k, k ||) \) at \( t = t_f \), as a function of \( k || \) with different \( k \perp \) for (a) \( 512^3 \) grid resolution and (b) \( 1024^3 \) grid resolution. The fitting exponent \( m \) in \( E(k, k ||) \sim k^{-m} \) has been calculated in the wavenumber range \( 1 \leq k || \leq 6 \) for the \( 512^3 \) grid resolution and \( 1 \leq k || \leq 11 \) for \( 1024^3 \) grid resolution and is shown in the legends.
ideally be as much away from the forcing scale as possible, but the peak shift toward a lower wavenumber, in line with the identity $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$, as $k_z$ increases, thereby making the range smaller. This is at odds with the fact that $k_z$ must be much greater than $k ||$ for witnessing the KZK spectrum. Thus, it effectively becomes a matter of systematic investigation where one should work with all possible combinations of the $k_z$-ranges and the value of $k_{\perp}$. What we can definitely conclude from Fig. 7 is that for $512^3$ grid resolution, the range $1 \leq k || \leq 6$ and $k_{\perp} = 35$ make an ideal combination which leads to the observation of the KZK-spectrum in the anisotropic limit in the forced rotating turbulence. Similar conclusion can be made for the $1024^3$ grid resolution with the range $1 \leq k || \leq 11$ and $k_{\perp} = 35$.


